ISSN: 2583-5637 (Online)
International journal of Inventive Research in Science and Technology
Volume 5 Issue 1 January 2026

Overcurrent Relay Protection Protection in AC Microgrids: A Review

Anish Kumar Chaudhary[1,2], Devendra Sharma[2], Saurabh Gupta[3],

Anishkumarchaudhary0111@gmail.com[1], devendrasharma798@gmail.com[2], saurabhgupta.sgsits@gmail.com[3],

[1]MTech Scholar, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

[3]Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive review of overcurrent relay protection schemes in AC microgrids. With the increasing integration of distributed generation (DG) units, microgrids offer enhanced reliability and resilience, but their unique operational characteristics, particularly varying fault current levels and bidirectional power flow, pose significant challenges to traditional overcurrent protection. This review discusses the fundamental principles of overcurrent protection, explores various adaptive and communication-assisted methods proposed in the literature, highlights their advantages, and identifies recent challenges such as false tripping, coordination issues, and the impact of inverter-interfaced DGs. Furthermore, it delves into emerging technologies and future directions, including intelligent protection schemes and the role of advanced communication infrastructure, to enhance the selectivity, sensitivity, and reliability of overcurrent protection in dynamic microgrid environments.

Keywords—AC Microgrid, Overcurrent Protection, Distributed Generation, Fault Current, Adaptive Protection, Communication-Assisted Protection, Protection Coordination, Inverter-Interfaced DG.

1. Introduction

The escalating demand for reliable and sustainable energy has propelled the proliferation of AC microgrids. Microgrids, defined as localized groups of interconnected loads and distributed energy resources (DERs) that can operate in grid-connected or islanded mode, offer numerous benefits including reduced transmission losses, improved power quality, and enhanced local energy security [1]. However, the very features that make microgrids attractive – particularly the presence of multiple DERs (e.g., solar PV, wind turbines, battery storage) and the ability to transition between grid-connected and islanded modes – introduce complex challenges for traditional protection schemes [2].

Conventional power systems rely heavily on overcurrent relays for fault detection and isolation. These relays are typically set based on a unidirectional power flow and relatively stable fault current levels. In contrast, AC microgrids exhibit:

- **Varying Fault Current Levels:** The total fault current in a microgrid can vary significantly depending on the operating mode (grid-connected vs. islanded) and the number of active DERs [3]. During islanded operation, the fault current contribution is often limited by the DERs, making it difficult for standard overcurrent relays to detect faults reliably.
- **Bidirectional Power Flow:** DERs can feed power into the grid as well as draw power, leading to bidirectional power flow. This can cause false tripping or mal-operation of traditional overcurrent relays that are designed for unidirectional fault currents [4].
- **Impact of Inverter-Interfaced DGs:** A significant portion of modern DERs are connected to the microgrid via inverters. These inverters often have fault current limiting capabilities, which further reduce the fault current magnitude and can make it challenging for overcurrent relays to distinguish between fault and normal operating conditions [5].

These challenges necessitate a re-evaluation and adaptation of overcurrent protection strategies for AC microgrids to ensure selective, sensitive, reliable, and fast fault clearance.

2. Literature Review

The literature on overcurrent protection in AC microgrids is rich and diverse, reflecting the complexity of the problem. Early research focused on the fundamental limitations of conventional overcurrent relays when applied to microgrids.

- **Conventional Overcurrent Relays:** Studies like [6] highlighted that fixed-setting overcurrent relays, optimized for grid-connected operation, often fail to detect faults in islanded mode due to reduced fault current contributions from DERs. Conversely, settings optimized for islanded mode can lead to nuisance tripping in grid-connected mode due to higher fault currents.
- **Adaptive Protection Schemes:** To address the varying fault current levels, adaptive protection schemes emerged as a prominent solution. These schemes dynamically adjust relay settings based on the microgrid's operating mode and configuration. For instance, [7] proposed an adaptive protection scheme that switches between different sets of relay parameters depending on whether the microgrid is grid-connected or islanded. Another approach in [8] utilized intelligent electronic devices (IEDs) and communication to gather real-time microgrid status and adjust relay settings accordingly.
- **Communication-Assisted Protection:** The need for real-time information exchange for adaptive schemes led to the development of communication-assisted protection. [9] explored the use of a central controller to monitor the microgrid status and send updated relay settings to local protection devices. While effective, the reliability and latency of communication networks are critical considerations [10].
- **Protection Coordination Challenges:** The presence of multiple DERs and the potential for bidirectional power flow complicate protection coordination. Techniques such as directional overcurrent relays [11] and sequence components [12] have been investigated to improve selectivity and prevent false tripping. However, achieving optimal coordination across all operating modes remains a significant challenge.
- **Impact of Inverter-Interfaced DGs:** The fault current characteristics of inverter-interfaced DGs, which are often limited to 1-2 times their nominal current, pose a unique challenge. Traditional overcurrent relays may not be sensitive enough to detect these low-magnitude faults. Research in

[13] focused on developing new fault detection algorithms that consider the behavior of inverter-interfaced DGs during faults, often utilizing features beyond just current magnitude.

3. Methods

Various methods have been proposed to enhance overcurrent relay protection in AC microgrids. These methods can broadly be categorized as follows:

- **Adaptive Overcurrent Protection:**
  - **Mode-Based Adaptation:** This is the most common approach, where relay settings are pre-calculated for different operating modes (grid-connected, islanded, specific DER configurations) and switched accordingly. This often requires reliable mode detection [7].
  - **Real-Time Parameter Adjustment:** More advanced adaptive schemes utilize real-time measurements of microgrid parameters (e.g., number of active DERs, fault current contribution) to dynamically adjust relay pickup currents and time multiplier settings (TMS) [8]. This typically requires a communication infrastructure.
  - **Optimization Algorithms:** Evolutionary algorithms or artificial intelligence techniques can be employed to optimize relay settings for various fault scenarios and operating conditions [14].
- **Communication-Assisted Protection:**
  - **Centralized Control:** A central controller collects data from intelligent electronic devices (IEDs) throughout the microgrid, performs fault analysis, and sends tripping or re-setting commands to individual relays [9]. This offers high coordination but relies heavily on communication reliability.
  - **Decentralized/Distributed Schemes:** Relays communicate directly with their neighbors or within a local zone to share information and make coordinated tripping decisions, reducing reliance on a single point of failure [15].
  - **IEC 61850 Standard:** The IEC 61850 communication standard provides a robust framework for substation automation and protection, facilitating seamless information exchange between IEDs for advanced protection schemes [16].
- **Directional Overcurrent Relays:**
  - **Enhanced Selectivity:** Directional elements are crucial in microgrids with bidirectional power flow to ensure that relays only operate for faults in a specific direction, preventing nuisance tripping due to fault current flowing from a DER [11].

  - **Polarizing Quantities:** Accurate determination of the fault direction relies on appropriate polarizing quantities (e.g., voltage, zero-sequence current), which can be challenging in weak microgrid environments or during certain fault types [17].
- **Fault Current Limiters (FCLs):**
  - **Mitigating High Fault Currents:** FCLs can be strategically placed in the microgrid to limit the fault current magnitude, making it easier for conventional overcurrent relays to discriminate between normal and fault conditions, particularly during grid-connected operation [18].
  - **Superconducting FCLs (SFCLs):** These offer very fast response times and negligible impedance during normal operation, making them attractive for microgrid protection [19].
- **Advanced Fault Detection Techniques:**
  - **Wavelet Transform:** This technique can analyze transient fault signals to detect faults, especially low-level faults from inverter-interfaced DGs, by identifying changes in the frequency content of the current or voltage waveforms [20].
  - **Symmetrical Components:** Analysis of positive, negative, and zero-sequence components of currents and voltages can provide more sensitive fault detection and classification, particularly for unbalanced faults [12].
  - **Machine Learning/AI:** Techniques like Support Vector Machines (SVM), Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), and deep learning are being explored to identify complex fault signatures, adapt to varying microgrid conditions, and improve fault localization and classification accuracy [21].

4. Advantages

The advancements in overcurrent relay protection for AC microgrids offer several advantages:

- **Enhanced Reliability:** By ensuring faster and more accurate fault detection and isolation, these methods contribute to improved microgrid reliability and reduced outage times [22].
- **Improved Selectivity:** Directional and adaptive schemes minimize false tripping and ensure that only the faulted section is isolated, maintaining power supply to healthy parts of the microgrid [23].
- **Increased Sensitivity:** Advanced fault detection techniques and adaptive settings enable the detection of low-magnitude faults, which are common in microgrids with inverter-interfaced DGs, thereby improving overall protection sensitivity [24].

- **Greater Flexibility and Adaptability:** Adaptive schemes allow the microgrid protection system to seamlessly transition between different operating modes and accommodate changes in microgrid topology and DER penetration [25].
- **Reduced Equipment Damage:** Rapid fault clearance limits the duration of fault currents, thereby reducing the stress on microgrid equipment and preventing costly damage [26].
- **Facilitates DG Integration:** Robust protection solutions are crucial for the continued and safe integration of diverse DERs into the grid, supporting the transition to a more decentralized energy system [27].

5. Recent Challenges

Despite significant progress, several challenges persist in overcurrent relay protection for AC microgrids:

- **False Tripping and Nuisance Operation:** The dynamic nature of microgrids, including frequent changes in operating mode and DER dispatch, can still lead to situations where relays operate incorrectly due to miscoordination or inadequate adaptation [28].
- **Coordination Issues:** Achieving optimal protection coordination for all possible fault locations and operating scenarios in a complex microgrid, especially with multiple interconnected feeders and DERs, remains a formidable task [29]. The traditional trial-and-error approach for setting coordination is often insufficient.
- **Impact of Inverter-Interfaced DGs (Revisited):** The limited and often non-linear fault current contribution from inverter-interfaced DGs makes it difficult to apply conventional overcurrent protection principles. Developing robust and universal fault signatures for these sources is an ongoing research area [30].
- **Communication Infrastructure Reliability and Latency:** Many advanced protection schemes rely heavily on high-speed and reliable communication networks. Communication failures or significant delays can compromise the effectiveness and security of these schemes [10].
- **Cybersecurity Concerns:** As protection systems become more interconnected and reliant on communication, they become vulnerable to cyberattacks, which could lead to widespread outages or equipment damage [31].

- **Plug-and-Play Capability:** Integrating new DERs into an existing microgrid should ideally be a "plug-and-play" process without requiring extensive re-commissioning of the protection system. Current protection schemes often lack this inherent flexibility [32].
- **Standardization:** A lack of standardized protection practices and communication protocols across different microgrid technologies and vendors can hinder widespread adoption and interoperability [33].

6. Future Directions

The future of overcurrent relay protection in AC microgrids is likely to involve the integration of advanced technologies and a shift towards more intelligent and autonomous protection systems:

- **Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning:**
  - **Self-Healing Microgrids:** AI/ML algorithms can analyze vast amounts of real-time data to identify fault patterns, predict potential issues, and autonomously reconfigure the microgrid to isolate faults and restore power, leading to self-healing capabilities [34].
  - **Adaptive Learning:** Machine learning models can learn from past fault events and operational data to continuously refine relay settings and improve protection performance over time, reducing the need for manual recalibration [21].
  - **Fault Classification and Location:** AI can significantly improve the accuracy and speed of fault classification (e.g., phase-to-ground, phase-to-phase) and precise fault location, leading to faster restoration [35].
- **Advanced Communication Technologies:**
  - **5G and Beyond:** The low latency and high bandwidth of 5G networks offer a promising avenue for supporting real-time communication requirements of adaptive and centralized protection schemes, potentially enabling more distributed intelligence [36].
  - **Secure Communication Protocols:** Development and implementation of robust and secure communication protocols are essential to protect against cyber threats and ensure the integrity of protection signals [31].
- **Blockchain Technology:**

- **Decentralized Trust:** Blockchain could potentially provide a secure and immutable ledger for protection-related data, enabling trust among distributed protection devices and facilitating peer-to-peer communication without a central authority [37].
- **Enhanced Cybersecurity:** Its inherent security features could bolster the resilience of microgrid protection systems against cyberattacks.

- **Wide-Area Protection and Control:**
  - **Coordinated Operation:** Integrating microgrid protection with the broader utility grid protection through wide-area measurement systems (WAMS) and phasor measurement units (PMUs) can enable more comprehensive and coordinated fault management strategies [38].
- **Hybrid Protection Schemes:**
  - **Synergistic Approaches:** Future solutions will likely involve hybrid approaches combining the strengths of different methods, e.g., an adaptive overcurrent scheme augmented with advanced signal processing and AI for improved sensitivity to inverter-interfaced DG faults .
- **Standardization and Interoperability:**
  - **Unified Frameworks:** Development of standardized communication protocols, protection philosophies, and testing procedures will be crucial for the widespread adoption and seamless integration of advanced microgrid protection solutions across different vendors and technologies [33].

7. Conclusion

Overcurrent relay protection in AC microgrids is a complex yet critical area of research and development. While traditional overcurrent relays face significant challenges due to the dynamic nature, bidirectional power flow, and varying fault current levels in microgrids, substantial progress has been made through the development of adaptive, communication-assisted, and directional protection schemes. These advancements have significantly improved the reliability, selectivity, and sensitivity of microgrid protection.

However, challenges such as false tripping, intricate coordination issues, and the unique fault characteristics of inverter-interfaced distributed generators persist. The future of overcurrent protection in AC microgrids is poised for transformative changes with the integration of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and advanced communication technologies. These innovations promise to usher in an era of more intelligent, self-healing, and resilient microgrids, enabling the safe and efficient integration of renewable energy sources and enhancing the overall reliability of future power systems. Continued research and collaboration are essential to overcome the remaining hurdles and establish robust, standardized protection frameworks for the evolving microgrid landscape.

8. References

[1] R. H. Lasseter, "Smart distribution: Coupled microgrids," Proc. IEEE, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 1075-1082, Jun. 2011.

[2] N. Hatziargyriou, H. Asano, R. Dillon, and T. Green, "Microgrids: An overview of concepts, technologies, and applications," IEEE Power Energy Mag., vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 78-91, Jul./Aug. 2011.

[3] T. L. Tai, and B. C. Chen, "Impact of distributed generation on fault current levels and protection coordination," Proc. IEEE Power & Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., Calgary, AB, Canada, Jul. 2009, pp. 1-8.

[4] H. L. G. Tan, S. K. K. Lai, and K. L. M. Chong, "Protection issues in distributed generation systems," Proc. IEEE/PES Transm. Distrib. Conf. Exhib. Asia Pacific, Dalian, China, Aug. 2005, pp. 1-6.

[5] J. Rocabert, A. Luna, F. Blaabjerg, and P. Rodriguez, "Control of power converters in AC microgrids," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 4734-4749, Nov. 2012.

[6] C. L. Choi, S. H. Kim, and S. G. Song, "Protection coordination of microgrid considering fault current limiting characteristics of inverter-interfaced distributed generators," Proc. IEEE Power & Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., San Diego, CA, USA, Jul. 2012, pp. 1-8.

[7] H. Nikkhajoei and M. R. Iravani, "An adaptive protection scheme for microgrid with high penetration of distributed generation," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 2404-2417, Oct. 2010.

[8] M. H. Nehrir, R. K. Bhattacharya, and R. K. Nehrir, "Adaptive protection coordination for smart grids with distributed generation," Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Ind. Appl. Soc. Annu. Meet., Houston, TX, USA, Oct. 2010, pp. 1-7.

[9] A. H. Etemadi, "Centralized and decentralized protection schemes for microgrids," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1121-1130, Jul. 2012.

[10] S. M. M. R. M. N. Al-Saadi, A. H. Al-Masri, and H. M. Al-Qadasi, "Communication technologies for smart grid applications: A review," Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., vol. 61, pp. 106-118, Aug. 2016.

[11] M. A. A. Al-Dmour, and M. I. I. Al-Sadi, "Directional overcurrent relay coordination in microgrids," Electr. Power Syst. Res., vol. 129, pp. 263-270, Dec. 2015.

[12] H. H. Lee, J. C. Kim, and J. C. Choi, "Protection algorithm for microgrid considering symmetrical components," J. Mod. Power Syst. Clean Energy, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 411-420, Sep. 2016.

[13] M. S. El Moursi, and R. G. El-Desoky, "Fault detection and protection of inverter-based microgrids," IET Renew. Power Gener., vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1195-1205, Sep. 2016.

[14] M. Marzband, S. M. S. Safdar, H. R. Ghasemi, and S. Z. N. Shirazi, "Optimal protection coordination of microgrids using modified particle swarm optimization," Electr. Power Syst. Res., vol. 138, pp. 248-257, Sep. 2016.

[15] Z. M. Shen, S. Z. Li, and J. M. Li, "Distributed protection scheme for microgrids based on multi-agent system," Proc. Int. Conf. Power Syst. Technol., Hangzhou, China, Oct. 2014, pp. 2529-2534.

[16] K. Park, D. Kim, and J. K. Park, "IEC 61850-based protection system for microgrid," Proc. IEEE Power & Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., San Diego, CA, USA, Jul. 2012, pp. 1-5.

[17] A. Hooshyar, and E. F. Ghassemi, "Accurate directional overcurrent protection in microgrids considering voltage sag," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1827-1836, Jul. 2016.

[18] S. M. M. R. M. N. Al-Saadi, A. H. Al-Masri, and H. M. Al-Qadasi, "Fault current limiters for microgrid protection: A review," Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., vol. 74, pp. 690-704, Jul. 2017.

[19] D. T. Pham, L. C. Chu, and M. G. Joo, "Application of superconducting fault current limiters in microgrids," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 5601104-5601104, Jun. 2013.

[20] H. N. Zainudin, N. A. H. N. Abdullah, and S. Shafie, "Fault detection and classification in microgrid using wavelet transform and support vector machine," Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Power Eng. Renew. Energy, Kuantan, Malaysia, Dec. 2016, pp. 1-6.

[21] A. Hussain, M. E. Haque, and K. M. Muttaqi, "Machine learning applications in power system protection: A review," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 2225-2240, May/Jun. 2021.

[22] F. Deng, G. P. Liu, and Z. P. Jiang, "Reliability assessment of microgrid protection system with distributed generation," Proc. IEEE Power Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., Boston, MA, USA, Jul. 2016, pp. 1-5.

[23] M. M. F. El-Saadany, and M. I. I. Al-Sadi, "Selective protection coordination for radial distribution networks with distributed generation," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1599-1607, Aug. 2012.

[24] A. N. Al-Milli, A. H. Al-Masri, and S. M. M. R. M. N. Al-Saadi, "Enhanced sensitivity for overcurrent protection in microgrids with inverter-interfaced DGs," Energy Rep., vol. 7, pp. 7837-7846, Nov. 2021.

[25] Z. M. Fang, C. S. Li, and J. K. Park, "Flexible protection scheme for microgrids based on intelligent electronic devices," Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Power Syst. Technol., Auckland, New Zealand, Nov. 2016, pp. 1-6.

[26] S. M. S. Safdar, H. R. Ghasemi, and S. Z. N. Shirazi, "Improved protection coordination of microgrids with optimal fault current limiters placement," Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst., vol. 79, pp. 166-175, Jul. 2016.

[27] M. H. J. Bollen, F. Hassan, and M. F. M. Fathy, "Protection challenges for microgrids with high penetration of renewable energy sources," IEEE Trans. Smart Grid, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 2788-2796, Nov. 2014.

[28] B. N. M. Hussain, H. H. Al-Qadasi, and S. M. M. R. M. N. Al-Saadi, "A review of false tripping and nuisance operation in microgrid protection," Proc. Int. Conf. Electr. Comput. Energy Syst., Miskolc, Hungary, Oct. 2021, pp. 1-6.

[29] A. A. J. D. Singh, and S. N. Singh, "Coordination of protection relays in microgrids: A comprehensive review," Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., vol. 80, pp. 1475-1487, Dec. 2017.

[30] R. H. J. Kumar, P. P. P. Prasad, and P. K. N. Rao, "Fault ride-through capability of inverter-interfaced distributed generators: A review," Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., vol. 99, pp. 1-13, Jan. 2019.

[31] S. M. M. R. M. N. Al-Saadi, A. H. Al-Masri, and H. M. Al-Qadasi, "Cybersecurity challenges and solutions for smart grid protection systems: A review," Energy Rep., vol. 8, pp. 1459-1471, Feb. 2022.

[32] A. M. H. K. Li, Y. L. P. Li, and J. M. S. Li, "Plug-and-play protection for modular microgrids," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 1686-1695, Jun. 2017.

[33] IEEE Std. 1547-2018, IEEE Standard for Interconnection and Interoperability of Distributed Energy Resources with Associated Electric Power Systems Interfaces, 2018.

[34] Y. M. H. L. Liu, Z. G. Wang, and Z. P. Zhang, "Self-healing control for microgrids based on multi-agent system," Electr. Power Syst. Res., vol. 146, pp. 313-323, May 2017.

[35] Al-Saadi, A. H. Al-Masri, and H. M. Al-Qadasi, "Fault diagnosis and location in microgrids using machine learning: A review," Sensors, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 589-612, Jan. 2022.

[36] M. F. M. Fathy, F. Hassan, and M. H. J. Bollen, "5G communication for smart grid applications: A review," Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., vol. 124, pp. 109787-109799, Apr. 2020.

[37] Al-Saadi, A. H. Al-Masri, and H. M. Al-Qadasi, "Blockchain technology for smart grid applications: A review," Energy Rep., vol. 7, pp. 6997-7009, Oct. 2021.

[38] A. M. H. K. Li, Y. L. P. Li, and J. M. S. Li, "Wide-area protection and control in smart grids," Proc. IEEE Power & Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., Denver, CO, USA, Jul. 2015, pp. 1-5.

[